UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,790	06/17/2005	Jutta Arden-Jacob	ARDEN-JACOB-3	4872
	7590 05/10/201 EREISEN, LLC	EXAMINER		
HENRY M FEI	EREISEN	POWERS, FIONA		
708 THIRD AVENUE SUITE 1501 NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@FEIEREISENLLC.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/539,790	ARDEN-JACOB ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Fiona T. Powers	1626		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 or 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) <u>4,6-8,15,21-24 and 34-37</u> is/are pendan 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrants 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>4, 6-8, 15, 21-24 and 34-37</u> is/are respond to claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by the I e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Profesoropa's Patent Proving Review (PTO 048)	4)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1626

#### DETAILED ACTION

The previous office action - a final rejection mailed on January 7, 2010 is hereby vacated in view of the telephone interview with Ursula Day on May 4, 2010.

Claims 4, 6-8, 15, 21-24 and 34-37 are pending in the application.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 30, 2009 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/539,790

Art Unit: 1626

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Claims 4, 6 to 8, 15, 21 to 24 and 34 to 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 34, structure (G) is shown on page 7. However, none of the variables of formula (I), for example Cyc2 is defined as (G).

In claim 35, "Cyc2" is not defined.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6 to 8, 15, 21 to 24 and 34 to 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mao et al. (US 6130101), of record.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Art Unit: 1626

The reference discloses structurally similar carboxamidesubstituted dyes that are useful as fluorescent probes. The compounds of the reference are structurally similar to the claimed dyes of the formula I wherein Y is oxygen;  $R_5$  and  $R_6$  are each a hydrocarbon group one of which is substituted by a carboxyl group; Cycl is unsubstituted or substituted phenyl; Cyc2 is optionally substituted phenyl or has the structure (E); and at least one of the groups that correspond to  $R_1$ ,  $R_{1'}$ ,  $R_3$ ,  $R_{3'}$ ,  $R_4$ ,  $R_{4'}$ , and R is a sulfo group. Note for example, Examples 25 to 31 of the reference. Also note that in some of these examples, the carboxamide group is shown floating in the ring. Therefore in these examples, the compounds where the carboxamide group is in the ortho position are included.

## Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The compounds of the reference differ from those claimed in that the group that corresponds to  $R_5$  or  $R_6$  of the instant invention that is not substituted with a carboxyl group is a hydrogen atom instead of an alkyl group and/or the sulfo group(s) are attached to the compound via a methylene group instead of being directly attached to the molecule and/or that the carboxy group of the instant invention that is attached to  $R_5$  or  $R_6$  is replaced by another reactive functional group such as a maleimide group.

Art Unit: 1626

## Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

It has been held that it is obvious to replace a hydrogen atom with an alkyl group. Note In re Wood, 199 USPQ 137, for example. The reference itself teaches that the sulfo group may be attached directly or indirectly via a methylene group to the compound. See column 3, lines 13 to 25. The substitution of another reactive group for the carboxyl group of the present invention is also taught by the reference. Note column 13, lines 25 to column 16, line 2. The reference discloses that the spectra of the sulfonated rhodamine dyes of the invention are insensitive to pH changes and that the dyes possess substantially greater water solubility than non-sulfonated Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have analogs. been motivated to make the claimed dyes with the expectation that additional dyes with superior properties would be obtained.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 30, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' state that claims 34 and 35 as currently amended overcomes the rejection based on US Patent No. 6,130,101 (Mao) because these claims now specify that the Cycl is substituted at the orthoposition of the ring attached to the backbone of formula (I)

Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

with -CONR<sub>5</sub>R<sub>6</sub>. Applicants further state that all drawbacks of rhodamines having a free -COOH are avoided and by converting the -COOH group into a secondary amide, absorption and fluorescence become independent of pH; since lactam formation is not longer possible, dye absorption and fluorescence remain intact.

However, in some of the examples of Mao mentioned above, the carboxamide group is shown floating in the ring. Therefore the compounds where the carboxamide group is in the ortho position are included. Note that there are two ortho positions in the ring that corresponds to Cycl, for example a phenyl ring. The present claims do not exclude the possibility of having a free -COOH group at one ortho position and the -CONR<sub>5</sub>R<sub>6</sub> group at the other ortho position which would be rendered obvious by the dyes of Mao et al.

## Non-Compliant Amendment

The amendment filed November 30, 2009 is non-compliant because amendments to the claims have not been shown with the proper markings (strikethrough and underlining). In claim 34, structures (D) and (G) shown on page 7 of the amendment filed November 30, 2009 should be underlined since these structures were not present in the amendment filed May 13, 2009. In claim 35, the structure for formula (I) should be underlined since

Application/Control Number: 10/539,790

Art Unit: 1626

this formula (I) is different from the formula (I) that appeared in the amendment filed May 13, 2009. The formula (I) that was deleted should be shown with strikethrough.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 571-272-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 6:15 am - 2:45 pm (in the office) and Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm (telework day).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fiona T. Powers/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit
1626

ftp
May 5, 2010